CANDOUR

The British Views - Letter

To defend national sovereignty against the menace of international finance

Volume XLIX Number 2 February 1996

CONSPIRACY CAROUSEL

The word "Carousel" usually brings to mind those musical roundabouts installed in fairgrounds whose patrons on "horses" bounce up and down and go round and round to the sound of mechanically produced repetitive tunes, for the profit of the operatives and the amusement of the visitors, who usually hear the sound but almost never look at the faces of the riders unless they have a relative or pecuniary interest in them. Something resembling this performance bemuses the present day world of politics and statecraft; the positions of the personnel change around and around to the sound of appropriate media accompaniment whilst the milling populace hardly glances at the revolving faces and figures; the whole contrivance merely advertises the fact that the "fair" season is open again. The difference is that "the fair" packs up and leaves presumably for rest in "winter quarters",whilst the conspiratorial company continues to operate anonymously around the world – especially when the sound is turned off.

If we recap a little to the revelations of Mr. Norman Dodd[1] and the findings of the Reece Commission, we find that it was as early as 1908 that according to the minutes taken at a Board meeting of the directors of the Carnegie Foundation for International Peace there was a discussion on what was the best way of changing the policy direction of any given State and it was decided that involving the State in a foreign war was the way to achieve the desired end. To accomplish this it would be necessary to infiltrate and subsequently to manipulate the diplomatic corps and thus influence the policy of the relevant foreign affairs department. To provide the personnel to do this successfully would require a falsification of U.S. history presented in schools and colleges, thus to produce a generation of confirmed liberal idealists from whom to staff the diplomatic corps. What was in fact produced was a succession of Alger Hiss and Anthony Blunt look-and act alikes who had no hesitation in leading double lives in the pursuit of "world peace", of these, Henry Kissinger certainly has had the longest run. It was no coincidence that the Guggenheim Foundation that specialised in the provision of scholarships for would-be history professors, seems to have had financial backing from South Africa in the person of Cecil Rhodes and his partners in deBeers diamond cartel, or why *Rhodes* scholarships from the United States to Oxford University, England?

It is well known that Lenin was financed and despatched by sealed train across the warring frontiers of Imperial Germany and Czarist Russia to consolidate revolution in the domains of the Kaiser's obstinate opponent. Perhaps not quite so well known is the fact revealed in Robert Wilton's book *"The Last Days of the Romanovs"* that there was resident in Petrograd in 1920, a banker designated `X' reputed to be a Jew, who paid a salary of 40,000 roubles (£4,000 at that time) to the Komisar of Justice, Soloviev who seemingly acted in the German interest in the investigation into the murders of all the Romanov connections of the Czar taken to and held in Perm for that purpose. This Soloviev acted as `X''s agent in keeping the Germans informed as to all happenings in Czarist Russia which were of interest to the Germans and which would, of course, be retailed: *"if Berlin so desired, to the Bolsheviks, its servants".*[2]

The Jews Sverdlov and Goloshekin organised the murders on behalf of the Soviets, whilst the Jews' paid employee, Soloviev, afterwards presided over the attempt, on the behalf of the Germans, to exonerate them.*"Confusion worse confounded".* As at the Peace Treaty "conference at Versailles, there seems to have been a plethora of Jews on both sides of the table – a circumstance that seems never to have found any place in "between wars" history books.

So where did the manipulators turn up next and why did nobody – or almost nobody – notice? Thomas Gray had the answer:

"Alas, regardless of their doom
The little victim's play!
No sense have they of ills to come,
Nor care beyond the day."

There was a Rock that should have been visible for any lost sheep to shelter under, had the shepherds not proved themselves mere hirelings with no care for their bewildered charges – Pacelli, Roncalli, Montini and Wojtyla all went hunting paradise in fields sown with cockle, and most of the sheep followed, to find only poisoned fodder. The only true shepherd died untimely in mystery as yet unsolved. The manipulators had put themselves in the key positions, so there was the Vatican steeped in heresy ready to play its part in establishing "hollowcost" survivors in comfortable corners on the other side of the Atlantic when the next offensive was underway.

Then there was Germany writhing in agony from the lashing of Versailles. She must be resurrected for the next round-about. So there had to be some Jewish help to enable Hitler's excellent policies to work – which they did: only even he could not overcome the combined operation when the riders went round again. Chamberlain in England had done his bit, all in a good cause, but it was meant to be and proved ,a mere delaying tactic whilst the last of the scenery was put in place and the stage finally set for World War II.

The Soviet Union attacked Finland but that was a deliberately placed damp squib, set to smoulder and die when the USSR/German Reich Treaty had served its purpose and scared all the "little victims" in Poland,

1 – Candour Oct. 1995
2 – The Last Days of the Romanovs

Belgium, France and Britain into war fever. The Finnish fire burned in vain. No help for "gallant little Finland". Soviets occupied what was necessary and the American President Roosevelt (elected on the promise of "no European war") and the Former Naval Person (Churchill; busy in opposition feathering his future nest) were in what can only be described as mutual treasonable correspondence.

The stage was then complete enough: only Spain and Portugal stood aloof. An inadequately armed Britain had "guaranteed" herself out of "peace in our time"; France waited hopefully behind a less than impregnable Maginot line; the Netherlands and Belgium being sitting ducks: Roosevelt having beggered the United States with his New Deal, was busy alienating Japan into joining Germany and Italy in their free trade axis.

Franklin D. Roosevelt launches his political career. He has just taken the oath as Governor of New York in 1931. His Jewish banker running mate, Herbert Lehman, is here taking the oath as Lt. Gov. which is administered by his brother Judge Irving Lehman. Note that he does not place his left hand on the Holy Bible!

Despite the Russo/German pact, the Soviet Union was glaring on her western and southern frontiers, with financing for offence and defence guaranteed by the long term transatlantic backers of the 1917 Bolshevik revolution: Messrs Kuhns, Loebs, Warburgs, Morgans, Rockefellers, Lehmans, Mellons, uncle Bernie Baruch and all. Hitler decided to "put it to the touch" and attack the Red Empire via Poland.

We all know what happened next but do we realise how very nearly the Germans, and their volunteers from some thirty-eight European countries including Britain, came to defeating Stalin's slave laager? Only the weather defeated them and the Jews will never forgive them for their stubborn gallantry on the Russian Front.

CARTELS

Without the usurious loans of the perfidious Jews and the treason of their minions who had falsified history and "changed the foreign policy of the USA as well as that of Great Britain, the European Empires might have brought a truer and more Christian civilization to what is now termed the Third World, whilst themselves would not now be wallowing in home and imported lust and lies financed by the same usurious cartels whose aim is a devil dominated world in defiance of God who created it and Who will certainly save us from damnation if we will; but not otherwise.

The war is still raging: bodies and souls in peril. We still need to unite in a spiritual and if necessary a physical equivalent of the Waffen SS. Without the co-operation of men of good will whatever their race, nation or colour we shall be hard pressed to conquer the cohorts of the damned. But only fight for the Right and we cannot lose.

CUT AND THRUST

Louis Farrakhan, a black American leader, has repeatedly denounced Jews as bloodsuckers of the blacks. This raises a difficulty. Can a black, who is automatically incapable of being racist (a sin only committed by whites against coloureds) be guilty of anti-semitism? Perhaps the UN can find a solution.

A senior Palestinian said, *"While Israel accepted millions of marks from Germany, Israel refused to give compensation to Arabs who were injured or killed".* This has been seen as a parallel to the Holocaust. This has infuriated the Israelis who take the view that there was only one Holocaust and that they have sole rights to it, so to speak.

Philip Weiss, an American Jewish writer, in New York magazine, points out that although Jews number only 2.5% of the American population they have become a formidable "power group" within the country's ruling elite, disproportionate to their numbers. Some people have been saying this, and not merely in America, for many years.

A vicar has been told by a social services inspector to provide black dolls at an after-school church club; none of the children are black, as it so happens. The club has now bought a female black doll, thus avoiding any suspicion of sexism. How about buying a golliwog? Or would that not meet the rules laid down by the council law-givers?

Sir Roger Bannister has suggested that blacks do better in sprints than do whites because they can run faster; sociologists decry this as demeaning the achievements of blacks. For the life of me I cannot follow the logic of this. Blacks run faster because they are naturally faster. If they ran slower then this would be due to white racism; as it is, it is due, in some fashion, to slavery.

Labour frontbenchers want a substantial increase in MP's earnings to close the gaps with the other professions. So being an MP is a profession is it? A way of earning a living, like a doctor, a lawyer or an accountant? We always thought that one became an MP in order to serve the country and one's fellow man; now we know differently.

The Israeli blood bank secretly destroys donations from Jews of Ethiopian origin for fear they are infected with the AIDS virus. Imagine such a thing happening in this country! The mind boggles.

PROTAGORAS IS GRANTED AN EXCLUSIVE INTERVIEW WITH ARCHANGEL MICHAEL

Protagoras: Well Archangel how should I address you?

Archangel Michael: Please just call me Michael, it is a very honourable name and we don't push rank up here, not like down there.

P. Quite so.

A.M. Tell me how did you find The Devil, what did you think of him?

P. Well I have to confess that I found him to be a charming chap, very easy to talk to, very keen to listen too.

A.M. That's his strength and most of our trouble with the blighter. He *is* so ready to talk and listen and give advice. He is so agreeable, that's the worse part of his trap. Sin is so *attractive* and at first *pleasant.* Why do you know that during the last century a very devout Anglican clergyman wrote solemnly in his parish magazine that he had estimated that there were 137 grave sins that a man could commit? He was inundated with requests from people who wanted a copy of his list. They thought that they were missing out!

P. Good heavens! May I quote this?

A.M. Certainly, it just shows you what I meant about the attractions of sin.

P. Well Archangel Michael how do *you* see things as we approach the end of the century? or rather the end of the first 2,000 years of the Christian era, It is nice not to have to be politically correct about names as it was down there.

A.M. Well in many ways I am afraid that I must agree with his summary. He explained the worse side of things very well and didn't he enjoy it if I am not mistaken.

P. What in your opinion is the main worry that you have about mankind?

A.M. Well I have here to agree with the Prince of Darkness. The most frightening aspect of mankind's attitudes these days is, as he said, the fact that in this so called modern age, so many people have lost the concept of sin or of right and wrong, except in a very purely humanist way. What gives man pleasure is good as long as it doesn't hurt other people and in other people there is just no concept of right or wrong. Mankind became prone to evil thanks to his self inflicted fallen nature but never before have we had this phenomenon. In past ages men realised that they are doing wrong and so many times they would repent or else they would try to justify what they did, not now.

P. In other words much of mankind does not accept the idea of sin?

A.M. That is it and it is a frightful state of affairs. How can man repent if he doesn't think he is doing wrong? If he has proved to himself that there is no real moral laws? This marks out the present age.

The other two frightful evils of this age are of course, the open, rampant, legal and in many examples moral acceptance of homosexuality. What was held to be perverted is now accepted as normal. What next? The worst horror of this age is a sin far worse than any wars and crimes ever committed in past ages. I refer to the mass murder of the innocent unborn. For mankind to kill off his own race and kind before birth and for societies that are still Christian orientated to allow it. This is the worst sin in mankind's long sinful history. Even in wars men tended to kill only those who were their enemies, now they kill their own children. It is far worse than the child sacrifice of some ancient times because then they killed their children, not because they were not wanted but to make their own pain in losing them a propitiating sacrifice to what they held to be the gods, THIS modern abortion is our greatest sorrow and the Devil's greatest victory. And to think that it occurs in this most enlightened age in history. WE Angels rejoice when we see the wonderful results of man's genius, as at one end of a hospital tiny babies are saved by brilliant modern science, applied to help humanity. But at the same time we weep bitterly to see at the other end often of the same hospital, the scientific killing off of unborn babies. A horrid paradox of modern mankind's "progress".

P. I agree that it is all very distressing however you are very aware that many, many people are totally opposed to abortion and all its horrors?

A.M. Yes, thank God, there is always hope.

P. What do you say and how concerned are you to the fact that in this modern age, both you Angels and the Devil are just not believed in by so many people? Does this upset you, you are no doubt aware that the Devil is using this fact very cunningly for his own ends even though it does hurt his pride?

A.M. Of course it upsets us. It is one facet of the modern, cynical "We know it all" attitude of so many modern people. However unlike him down there, we have far more real possibilities. There is still, in all men a yearning for spiritual things – somethings that are above the every day experiences – this is happily a fact of human nature. We Angels are very deep in mankind's spiritual roots, we are part of his psyche, whether he admits it or not. Look how we are called upon in every day common speech. "Be an Angel" is a common plea , look how children are compared to Angels, there are many sayings using Angels. Why, you recall in the USA the volunteers who policed the subways called themselves "The Guardian Angels". We still survive too on Christmas cards and where the politicaliy correct have not ruined it, in Christmas

decorations. Yes mankind likes us and wants us. They don't like him down there, with the exception of the few who have given themselves to him. Mankind fears him, they don't fear us. Ask any unbeliever what he would rather meet on a dark night,, I can tell you, an Angel not a devil. So there is always hope.

P. Very true. But although they would like Angels to exist, whenever the subject of guardian angels or angels comes up men will cynically point out how many poor little innocent children are murdered, or meet fatal accidents. Where was their Guardian Angel, they will ask? How can we reply to this.

A.M. Well I agree this is a very disturbing question and it is a very deep theological question. One that has been argued over the centuries. The simple answer is that the Angel is the guardian of the child's soul. If an evil man decided to kill a child, alas, as man has free will, we cannot as a rule interfere, more's the pity, but the evil man cannot kill the child's soul. This we keep. We are also, remember, behind much of the conscience in the child and adult too. We are also often that warning voice that if listened to will guard against both sin and danger. Sadly all the horrors such as wars and famines etc., that kill little children are in many cases the results of mankind's evil use of his God given free will. Natural disasters follow natural law, God and ourselves would be going against natural law if we intervened. God having set the natural laws of the universe would be going against His own free will in the stopping of many natural disasters but again this is a very complicated theological question. Let me state that on many occasions we do intervene if at all possible but in the final analysis we guard the soul, the most precious part of mankind. I hope this has gone some way to answer your question. We could perhaps have a further discussion on this matter.

P. Certainly. However to continue; what about the Old Catholic Church to take one great Christian body.. His Excellency down there seemed delighted that it appears that the Catholic Church has shot itself in the foot over the Old Mass. Can you give your opinion?

A.M. Of course it does appear to many that Old Nick has scored a hit or at least the changing of the ancient Mass is a help to him. But I am afraid that the entire matter of the old Mass and what has happened to it is still being looked at at the highest levels. The subject is still I am afraid *sub judice,* so to speak.

P. You mean to say that HE is looking into the matter? in Person?

A.M. I am afraid that I cannot add to what I have said. With the greatest of respect, there are still matters that Angels just cannot discuss with mortals. No offence meant.

P. Quite so, and none taken. His Excellency down there seemed smugly satisfied with his progress as the century ends. Have you any thoughts on the situation, in addition to what you have already said?

A.M. Well yes of course He down there is always so sure of himself, that's what brought him down in the first place. Even before his "fall" I always thought he was too big for his halo. He also has certain advantages in dealing with mankind. He is in the "Yes" business we are so often in the "No" business. As I stated before, sins are so much more attractive than virtues or appear so. This fact has always given him an over confident attitude. We up here have three great weapons when dealing with his attempts to enslave mankind and bring down the souls of men. Let me explain: first we have time, hope and although it is described as the last enemy – death, which is a weapon that serves us far more than him. We also have one weapon that he has used, that is man's own pride, this is very often counter productive to the devil. You see man in his pride thinks he knows all the answers, as he gains knowledge so he has in many cases, thinking that he knows it all, rejected the idea of a God. Now as time – one of the weapons – passes, the next generation of men start in turn to question what their clever-dick fathers have said. i.e. there is no God. So they quite often – and there are plenty of signs and examples of this – reject the clever-dick opinions of their fathers and return to God. Time also helps in that as it passes, hidden evils emerge and these so often cause man to recoil in such horror at the evils perpetrated in the past that so often he returns to good and to God. Look at Russia as an example, and China. All the evils are coming to light and the people are horrified. Religion is rapidly growing. then again with time, the influence of an evil man will wane as it passes. This is linked to death. All mortal men die, and no matter how great and powerful one day every man must die. This has happened since Adam brought death into the world. Hope of course is a great weapon that Satan can never use. Goodness offers Hope and this has seen mankind through so many crises. It kept the spirit of religion alive so many times. Again look at Russia and China and communist countries in general. Old Nick was certainly deceived by his lieutenants.

P. Yes he was very upset when we discussed the matter.

A.M. Quite so, and as you said he has only devils to deal with, what else can he really expect. We like to see him fall flat on his face now and then, keeps him in his place. *(Here there were delightful peals of angelic laughter. This may explain why we had such a delightful summer).*

P. His Excellency the devil was very confident and sure of himself when he openly described syncretism as his latest weapon. How do you feel about it?

A.M. Of course it is a new worry for us up here, but then history is full of weird and wonderful religions and cranky ideas. We have seen much of it before, sadly it will do some damage to many poor souls but take hope, look from where its present day beginning has come. From the United Nations! Not a very religious institution. I know that I should not make remarks about mankind's political institutions but some times really! Just look at the record of that organisation. It has never got a single simple thing right yet. Even the most ordinary undertakings always seem to end in chaos. How do you ever think that it and the clever-dicks who spout about syncretism could ever get so complicated a scheme off the ground? Most of them, with respect, could not help Old Nick to start a fire, let alone a new religion that would satisfy all mankind. Of course it will do damage but it will not effect the truly religious peoples.

P. You think His Excellency, the devil will burn his finger there too.
A.M. Of course, as with all his other schemes to destroy Christianity he will be lied to by his lieutenants, have at first little – even big victories – then as ever, it will all come crashing down about his ears. One cannot help feeling sorry for him in some ways.
P. *(Chuckling).* Having to rely on devils to get things done again?
A.M. *(Also chuckling).* That's right, I am delighted to say that once again horned heads will roll.
P. Even so he does paint a very gloomy picture of the situation.
A.M. True but then *he* would. He has NO HOPE we and mankind *have.* Of course mankind is in a mess and there is plenty of real evil about but there is also such a lot of real GOOD. He doesn't like to look at and mention this. Look at all the good works being carried out by sinful mankind. Look at the unselfish good works, the responses to disasters, the unsung heroes who work in often frightful conditions for the betterment of their fellows; the missionaries of all religious denominations, the doctors, nurses, helpers in leper hospitals and AIDS hospitals; everywhere people of good will are quietly going around doing good works. Look at the almost endless lists of real charities, there is plenty of genuine good and concern. Real heroes appear every day. Yes, there is plenty of HOPE. He down there can never extinguish this wonderful flame. Most people are basically good even if they do not profess to a religion. This is especially so in Britain. That is why, in fact there are so few British saints. Good men do not stand out so starkly among so many basically good people. Unlike France where good men stand out like sore thumbs, that is why there are so many French saints. *(Here Archangel Michael roared with laughter).* This is a story often told *(he added)* up here.
P. Yes, it is a favourite one in Britain too. In conclusion?
A.M. In conclusion, tell people to keep on hoping. There is always hope. No matter how grim and dark things may become, good will always in the end win over evil. Remember too that the Devil and his allies fear us, we do not fear them, because we know that we will win in the end. Well I really must fly now.
P. Thank you Archangel Michael, don't forget your flaming sword.

WHO OWNS AMERICA?

by Pat Buchanan

Is he for real or, like so many hopefuls of the past, a safety-valve, a tool of the very financial élite he purports to be fighting against? It does well to reflect on the following article which is the last of Pat Buchanan's syndicated columns before he became a Republican candidate for the American Presidency. Let us see if he continues his campaign in the same vein — *Candour.*

Who owns America? Who controls the real levers of power?

In the wake of recent events, it seems a pertinent question. Consider: During the debate on merging the U.S. and Mexico in an economic union called NAFTA, a surge of patriotic and nationalist protest arose. Bill Clinton was forced to go down into the trading pits of Capitol Hill, to buy votes outright. Yet, on the pivotal vote, the Republican establishment rescued Clinton.

One year later, when a populist-nationalist coalition arose in protest of GATT and the World Trade Organization – that sweeping surrender of U.S. sovereignty – GOP (Republican) leaders again collaborated with Clinton, to ram GATT through a lame duck session. Republican leaders denied their own freshmen an opportunity to vote on GATT. Since GATT and WTO had zero popular support, the sense of betrayal was great. Why did the new Republican leadership do this?

Three weeks after passage of GATT, the Mexican peso sank like a stone. American investors lost billions. And NAFTA was exposed as the hoax it had always been. Suddenly, the big boys up at Citibank and J.P. Morgan found their Mexican loans resembled junk bonds in a company whose boss had just been exposed in "60 Minutes".

They went berserk, and ran screaming to Alan Greenspan (of the Federal Reserve) and Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin to bail them out. That pair concocted a plan to rush $40 billion to Mexico, so President Zedillo could pay off the $28 billion in Mexican bonds coming due in 1995.

End game: Cleanse the Big Bank portfolios of the lousy paper guaranteed by a bankrupt Mexican regime, and replace it with new paper guaranteed by U.S. taxpayers. But the public was not fooled. A new national roar of protest arose.

Stopped cold by public opinion, Bill Clinton used his executive authority to rush $20 billion to Mexico, and get the IMF and friends to lend another $28 billion. Again the GOP rallied to Clinton. Indeed, Republican leaders seem positively relieved that Bill Clinton had brushed Congress aside and had acted unilaterally.

What is going on here? Three times in 13 months, the GOP spit in the face of a mighty nationalist-populist movement, to bail out Bill Clinton. The GOP is acting less like a great party than like the political action committee of Goldman Sachs. How else to explain this near suicidal politics – except that someone else is pulling the strings?

Who might that be? Well, who were the only ones clamouring for GATT, the WTO, and that $40 billion Mexican bailout? Was it not the multinational corporations and the Wall Street financial elite?

And Wall Street's most compelling argument for having the U.S. bail out Mexico? If we do not, said Rubin, the entire world financial system could come crashing down, and take us with it. But, if that is true, who put America at risk? Who guided us to the edge of the abyss?

Who led us into a trap where swindlers in Mexico City could bring down the financial system of the West? Was it not Wall Street itself – and its Washington hirelings?

If the U.S. is so horribly vulnerable to sudden devaluations by Third World regimes, what steps are being taken, right now, to insulate us from a repeat of this debacle? Answer: None. Why? Because these men don't want America to escape from the trap they have put us in; they want to lock us in, forever.

Conclusion: Real power in America is not wielded by Congress. Few members have any idea what is going on. Holler "The markets will crash!" and a panicked Congress will pass a $50 billion bailout, and go back to squabbling over pennies for National Public Radio.

Who Owns America? was brought to our attention by *Impact,* an independent bi-monthly review, PO Box 28233, Sunnyside 0132, Republic of South Africa.

TORMENTING THE TORY BEAST

Candour reader, *Sylvia Noble,* decided to put the views of her local Conservative Prospective Parliamentary Candidate, *John Midgley*, to the test on the subjects (chosen no doubt to prod at the spongy interior that lies within the modern Tory belief) here listed. John Midgley's reply is in italics.

1). **British National Party** – *"offensive and an affront to common decency".*

2). **Capital Punishment** – *"I support its reintroduction as a sentencing option available to the courts when dealing with heinous murders or the import of illegal drugs."*

3). **Usury** – *"the courts already have the power to overturn extortionate credit bargains."*

4).**The War Crimes Act** – *"I firmly disagree with your view that this legislation should be repealed. There is no statute of limitations on the vicious crimes perpetrated in the name of Nazi Germany – and nor should there be."*

5). **Federal Europe** – *"Further moves towards a Federal Europe, which are designed to undermine the sovereignty of Parliament, should be resisted."*

6). **Labelling** –*"I would support the introduction of a clear labelling scheme to help customers distinguish between those meat products resulting from ritual slaughter and those that do not."*

Sylvia Noble, not being satisfied with this rather predictable response, then guides *Mr Midgley,* and indeed all Tory members, towards the right (as opposed to wrong) viewpoint:—

Dear Mr. Midgley,

Thank you for your communication of January 9th.

I am sorry you disagree with the aims and policies of the British National Party but in a free country you are entitled to your opinion as we are to ours. You will appreciate however, the British National Party is a legitimate political party and has the same democratic rights as any other to express its policies.

What we ourselves consider "offensive and an affront to common decency" is the unlawful and undemocratic imposition of multi-racialism on our people without their consent or mandate causing disruption and destabilisation in our society along with all the oppressive measures and injustices instituted as a means of sustaining it which has brought anarchy to our streets and destruction of the rule of law.

Bruce Anderson recorded in *The Sunday Telegraph*(March 29 1987) "The voters were never consulted; if they had been we would have had no large-scale coloured immigration".

Compulsory repatriation and the removal of British citizenship from culturally incompatible immigrants who should never have received citizenship in the first place is essential for the survival and welfare of our people. Misplaced sentiment in opposition to this measure is weak, muddled and misguided, and in the long term dangerous for everyone concerned. We urgently need to significantly reduce the population of this grossly overcrowded country and repatriation is the only conceivable and logical solution.

A detailed study of ethnic data from the U.K. 1991 Census reports the numbers of blacks and Asians will double in 40 years. Notwithstanding this impending disaster, 99 per cent of arranged marriages by Asians already granted British citizenship are with partners from the Indian sub-continent allowing further numbers to flood in and after 16 years of Conservatism, the Tories openly admit they have no idea how many illegal immigrants are living in Britain and it is estimated over two million are in the Home Counties alone. We also have a growing tuberculosis problem manifesting itself in our country, brought here by Third Worlders, which is not being fully reported to the general public.

In fact, we now have in our homeland, two species of people, ethnic and non-ethnic, the latter comprising of dozens of incompatible races, creeds and religious beliefs all jostling for pre-eminence, feather-bedded at great cost to the taxpayer, insisting on racial equality and equal opportunities and encouraged to do so by our traitorous politicians who, in so doing, make clear to the British people that two thousand years of blood, sweat and toil for their homeland amounts to nothing and that their status is no more than that of any common immigrant from the back streets of Delhi and Calcutta. That, Mr. Midgley, in my opinion, is "offensive and an affront to common decency"!

Our judges are now ordered to attend "racial awareness classes" as a means of indoctrination and there are calls for the reform of the jury system whereby if the accused is black, a corresponding jury shall be made up of the same racial group. Carried to its logical conclusion if a black murderer is on trial the jury should comprise of black murderers.

We have British animals suffering the horrors of barbaric ritual slaughter thanks to "cultural diversity" and the refusal of Jews and Muslims to abide by Humane Slaughter legislation binding on the rest of us.

How about racial awareness classes for aliens to teach them how to understand, behave and fulfill their responsibilities to the ethnic British?

It is evident coloureds have no empathy with whites, have no intention of assimilating but at the same time wish to enjoy every benefit our people can provide – or rather, are forced to provide – giving nothing in return.

Iqbal Siddiqui, of the Muslim Parliament having now established itself in our homeland, was quoted in *The Sunday Telegraph* of Dec 4th '95 as saying, *"The entire system (in Britain) is contradictory to many parts of Islam.*

In the process of education, our children are being indoctrinated in aspects of western culture and we don't like it."

It would seem therefore Mr. Midgley, that not only does the British public question the legitimacy of multi-culturalism, but also do those for whom the structure was deliberately created.

USURY

Regarding your comments on usury, you say the Courts already have powers to overturn extortionate credit bargains but the most extortionate credit bargain of all is the current colossal National Debt, the servicing of which accounts for a considerable amount of taxation with no benefit to the taxed.*

Every nation has the sovereign right to issue its own currency free of debt obligation and on December 22nd 1964, Captain Henry Kerby M.P. called upon the House of Commons to support the following motion:– *"that the continued issue of all means of exchange by private forms as an interest bearing debt should cease and the sovereign power and duty of issuing money should be returned to the Crown and put into circulation free of debt and interest obligations as a public service not as a private opportunity for profit and control for no tangible returns to the British people, so as to assure the State and Nation the benefits of this emission and relieve them of the immense and growing burdens of a parasitical national and private debt and to make certain that control passes to the taxed and is taken out of the hands of the present hidden unlawful beneficiaries of taxation".* (See *Hansard* 22.12.64).

For the sake of western economies, the problem of usury must be confronted in order to avert disaster. I take this opportunity of recommending two important books dealing with this subject by James Gibb Stuart, *"The Money Bomb"* and *"The Hidden Menace to World Peace"*.

WAR CRIMES

I will overlook your bias regarding events of WW2 and war crimes apertaining thereto because of your youth and lack of knowledge but assure you as a nationalist I abhor war crimes from wherever they emanate including the fire bombing of Dresden, a non military target populated by women, children and refugees and the forced repatriation of millions of Germans from their own ethnic homelands by the Allies after WW2 when over one million died of starvation and deprivation in the process. Are these atrocities classified as war crimes and would you support the perpetrators being prosecuted? We also have the atom bombing of two major Japanese cities whilst Japan was negotiating the terms of surrender.

It may interest you to know that 30 years ago Menachem Begin, a war criminal and terrorist responsible for the deaths of many British soldiers in Palestine paid a formal visit to Britain. A colleague of mine protested to the then Home Secretary who replied, "WE MUST FORGIVE AND FORGET". How is it possible therefore that we have now introduced retrospective legislation (twice vehemently opposed in the Upper House) enabling the hounding and prosecution of an 84 year old man from Byelorussia who half a century ago with the aid of Germany sought to liberate his country from Bolshevist barbarism?

Known to his friends and neighbours as quiet, gentle and helpful, this frail old man, Simon Serafinowicz, now resident in Britain, has been selected to be persecuted with your full support at a cost of millions of pounds to the British taxpayer when it is extremely unlikely any Court could or would bring in a conviction.

BOERS

It may surprise you that Britain, in the course of its own history in the war against the tiny Boer nation in South Africa (1899-1902) burnt the Boer homesteads whilst the men were away soldiering and put one hundred and sixteen thousand five hundred and seventy two people, most of them women and children, into what they themselves designated as "concentration camps". There, due to inadequate food, exposure and disease, particularly typhus, mass death took place, just as it did in the final days of WW2 in Germany when Allied bombing disrupted supplies to German camps swollen with transfers from the East.

In British concentration camps during the Boer war, twenty-seven thousand, two hundred and fifty-one Boers died including 26,251 women and children, 22,074 being minors under the age of 16.

Let me make it clear, these atrocities, carried out in the name of the British people were for the advancement of interests entirely non-British as was the fighting of WW1 and especially WW2 which lost us our Empire and caused our impoverishment when Germany had no quarrel with the British people nor had designs on our Empire. Had we retained our Empire, under British rule the majority of people in the Third World would not be living under barbaric and oppressive black dictatorships nor seeking to flood into the West as a means of escape.

Our "glorious" Russian ally in WW2 had long since previous to WW2 set up the biggest and most barbarous concentration camp network of all time where millions of Russians perished. Can you explain why only prosecutions of German war crimes have ever taken place and why half a century later they are still being brought?

A recently published book and best seller by liberal left winger David Icke *"And the Truth shall set you Free"* (ISBN0952614707) states in chapter 7 the Nuremberg Trials were the greatest travesty of justice in modern history and supplies factual evidence and proof thereof.

FAKE

It has also been recently admitted by the authorities at Auschwitz that the building alleged to have been a "gas chamber" and shown to tourists for the past 45 years is a fake and was built by the communists in 1948. This fact is confirmed as correct by none other than one of the world's foremost holocaust propagandists, Dr. David Cesarani in *The Guardian* newspaper of November 29th 1995.

Whilst Britain is busily engaged in engineering war crimes trials (having had to change the law of the land in order to do so) for one 84 year old man who has committed no crime on British soil, Palestinian men, women and children are routinely shot to death and their homes destroyed by the very people who seek to justify the prosecution of Mr. Serafinowicz for an alleged war crime 50 years ago.

Regarding Europe – this dinosaur is already poised to self destruct and its collapse is iminent, certainly by the turn of the century, with or without a

* Usury *is* lending on unproductive enterprises – such as wars – Ed.

referendum from the British people.

Thank you however for supporting labelling of meat from ritually slaughtered animals without humane pre-stunning demanded by immigrants, but I would have preferred it had you supported a ban on ritual slaughter on animal welfare grounds in line with the RSPCA, The Farm Animal Welfare Council, The British veterinary Association and The National Farmers Union.

I will no longer be supplying you with further information which is clearly contrary to your beliefs and personal political aspirations, such as they are,

Yours Sincerely,
Sylvia Noble.

READ – FINAL CONFLICT

Against capitalism and communism. Read Final Conflict for only £6 for five issues. **Write to BCM 6358, London, WC1N 3XX.**

LEGION TAPES

For a full listing of our nationalist and revisionist audio tapes send an SAE to **,Legion Tapes, BCM 6358, London WC1N 3XX.**

SPEARHEAD

Monthly magazine published in support of the British National Party. **Sample copy £1.30p from PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW.**

CANDOUR – BACK ISSUES AVAILABLE

Dating back to 1955 and under the direction of AK Chesterton until 1973 they are a mine of information which you cannot afford to leave unearthed. For a list of issues available, plus our booklist, please send 4x 1st class stamps to Candour, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.

ADVERTISEMENTS

FREEDOM VIDEOS

INVASION AND BETRAYAL + THE MARK OF THE NEW WORLD ORDER. *(2 Hours 45 Mins. Colour).*

Invasion and Betrayal is introduced by Bob Fletcher, a special investigator with the *Militia of Montana*. Bob was a victim of the Iran-Contra scandal when his toy factory was taken over by the CIA and used as a front for massive arms deals. He blew the whistle after discovering that his business was being bled dry, he was then the target of two attempts on his life. Bob now works full time for the militia movement and this well produced video presents a wealth of information about the machinations of The New World Order/One World Government, includes features on how the Globalists are working towards Global Gun Control, The One World Police Force, One World Religion, The Single World Currency and much, much more! This information-packed double-feature video continues with **The Mark of the New World Order!** The architects of the New World Order have ways to force you into submission. Firstly through a well orchestrated agenda including health care, financial security, safety from crime and environmental issues; the public will be coerced into accepting a National Identification Card that will be disguised in a cloak of benevolence. The government doing a "great, good deed for the people". Without it you will not be able to make deposits or withdrawals at the bank or write a cheque at the supermarket or even drive your car. And this is only the beginning. This video must be seen by as large an audience as possible.

Price: £14.99 incl. p&p. (Foreign orders add 15%). Send cheques or postal orders to, Freedom Videos, Forest House, Box 1, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.

RISING BOOKS

The Workingmen's Guilds of the Middle Ages: *Kurth.*
Excellent work that explains how the medieval Guild System worked, and why it is the only serious answer to the modern problem of capital and Labour. Includes useful introduction by Fr. Fahey. £3.60.

The Fourth Reich of the Rich: *Griffin.*
A classic on the One World Conspiracy. Lays bare the role of the Rothschild and Rockefeller families in enslaving mankind. £11.

Prices include post and packing, foreign orders add 15%. Please send your cheque or postal order to Rising Books, Forest House, Box 2, Liss Forest, Hampshire GU33 7DD.

Answers to the January quiz

No correct entries means the book token is safe in the drawer waiting for the new Dick Francis to appear; a birthday present to myself – Ed.

1). King Richard I, from the book, The Return of Don Quixote by GK Chesterton; 2). Thomas Jefferson to WS Smith, 13th Nov, 1787; 3). Henrik Ibsen, An Enemy of the People (1882); 4). Henrik Ibsen, An Enemy of the People (1882); 5). Sir Gerald Hurst 1877-1957, Closed Chapters 1942; 6). Sir Arthur Conan Doyle 1859-1930; 7). Shakespeare– Henry VI Part 2; 8). The owner was Mr. Robert Standish Sievier, the only owner himself to train his own entry in those four classic races and Sceptre is, to date, the only filly to be outright winner of those same classics. 9). Malcolm Muggeridge of Stalin in 1933. 10). Muhammad Ali.

CANDOUR SUBSCRIPTION RATES

U.K. £15 OVERSEAS £20 U.S.$30 AIRMAIL PER ANNUM

CHEQUES AND POSTAL ORDERS MADE PAYABLE TO THE A.K. CHESTERTON TRUST

Printed and published by Candour Publishing Company, Forest House, Liss Forest, Hampshire, GU33 7DD.
(Editor: Rosine de Bounevialle)